UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/776,094               | 02/10/2004                | Stephen Ritland      | 4510-1-DIV 2357     |                  |
| 22442<br>SHERIDAN R      | 7590 10/12/2007<br>OSS PC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1560 BROADV              |                           | PHILOGENE, PEDRO     |                     |                  |
| SUITE 1200<br>DENVER, CO | 80202                     | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| ,                        |                           |                      | 3733                |                  |
|                          | •.                        |                      |                     |                  |
|                          |                           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                          |                           |                      | 10/12/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | 7-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11  | Application No.           |   | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/776,094 RITLAND, STEPH |   | N            |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner                  |   | Art Unit     |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Pedro Philogene           |   | 3733         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |                           |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                           |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   | •                         |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 July 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol> |                           |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                           |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 16-28 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 16-28 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |   |                           |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   | ,                         |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                           |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority L   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                           |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |                           |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Information   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/07.   | 4)                        | Interview Summary (<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>Notice of Informal Pa<br>Other: | te           |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/776,094

Art Unit: 3733

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9, 16-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Errico et al. (5,735,851).

With respect to claims 1,8, 16, 22 Errico et al. disclose an attachment device adapted for use with a tension link, the tension link (120) including a tension link head (124) and shaft (122), the device comprising a shank (102) having first and second ends, the first end having a securing mechanism (104), and the second end (106) comprising a wall (110) defining by a hollow core (108b), a first expansion slot (111) disposed on the wall, a central aperture; as best seen in FIG. 4., wherein the second end is deformable to accommodate the insertion of the tension link head (124) through the central aperture and into the hollow core; as set forth in column 6, lines 1-5, and wherein the tension link head (a24) is retained within the hollow core after insertion therein as set forth in column 6, lines 14-23. The attachment device receivingly accepts a connector (160), the connector comprising a head receptable (150) that at least partially surrounds the second end and limits deformation of the second end upon

securing the connector to the attachment device, a link nut (170); as set forth in column 7, lines 10-67, column 8, lines 1-24; and as best seen in FIGs.1-10.

With respect to claims 1-2, 4-7, 17,18,20,21,23,24,26-28, Errico et al disclose all the limitations, as set forth in column 6, lines 1-5, lines 14-23, as set forth in column 7, lines 10-67, column 8, lines 1-24; and as best seen in FIGs.1-10.

With respect to claims 8, 9, the methods steps, as set forth, would have been inherently carried out in the operation of the device, asset forth above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,19,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Errico et al. (5,735,851).

With respect to claims 3,19,25, it is noted that Errico et al did not teach of at least two expansion slots that are diametrically opposite; as claimed by applicant. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the slot of Sitoto and make them diametrically opposite, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

## Response to Amendment

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 16-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner apologizes for indicating claims 1-9, and 16-28 to be allowable in the last Office Action. In an update search the reference to Errico et al was found to disclose the indicated allowable subject matter.

## Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,094

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene October 3, 2006

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5